## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 189

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

American Institute for Neuro-Integrative Development, Inc. $v$ . Town Plan & Zoning	999
Commission  Zoning; application for special exception; claim that trial court erred when it concluded that special exception application was properly denied; whether defendant zoning commission improperly concluded that plaintiff had not satisfied certain traffic related requirements under town zoning regulations; whether commission's ground for denial of special exception application was reasonably supported by substantial evidence in record; whether commission's reason for denial of application—that plaintiff did not demonstrate that proposed offices for charitable institutions would be nonprofit entities—was based on speculation and not supported by substantial evidence.	332
Bank of America, N.A. v. Grogins	477
Bank of America, N.A. $v$ . Linkasamy (Memorandum Decision)	904
Barbabosa v. Board of Education	427
Application to vacate arbitration award; motion to confirm arbitration award; whether arbitration award was timely issued; whether arbitrator reasonably determined closing date of hearing; whether arbitration award was predicated on manifest disregard of law; whether arbitration award was not mutual, final and definite; whether arbitration award was not mutual, final and definite; whether arbitration award was in violation of public policy; whether arbitrator reasonably believed that he was prolonging hearings with consent of parties; claim that arbitrator's conclusion that contract existed demonstrated manifest disregard of law; claim that arbitrator ignored well settled contract law and improperly concluded that contract existed despite lack of elements necessary for contract; whether appellate court can review evidence or otherwise second-guess arbitrator's factual determination where arbitration submission was unrestricted; claim that arbitration award should have been vacated because it was not mutual, final and definite; whether fact that arbitrator retained jurisdiction of matter for sole purpose of interpreting award or resolving any potential disputes arising from final effectuation of ruling undermined finality of award; whether arbitrator's conclusion that transfer of life insurance policies created tax liability that would not have otherwise been imposed violated explicit, well-defined and dominant public policy of this state.	304
Benjamin $v$ . Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	905

Boyle v. Apple Hill Homeowners Assn., Inc. (Memorandum Decision)	905
Bozelko v. Statewide Construction, Inc	469
was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses; whether plaintiff could challenge court's finding that defendants owned parcel where plaintiff failed to establish that he had title to parcel.	
Bree v. Commissioner of Correction	411
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present testimony from audio-video forensics expert to challenge reliability of closed-circuit television surveillance video that was used to identify petitioner in robbery of convenience store; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to object to testimony by petitioner's accomplice that identified petitioner's photograph in police photographic array; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present testimony of petitioner's stepfather.	
Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction	556
Habeas corpus; murder; criminal possession of firearm; claim that first habeas counsel was ineffective in failing to claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to consult with forensic pathology expert; claim that first habeas counsel was ineffective in failing to object to admission of prior inconsistent statements from two witnesses; whether, after consulting with expert criminalist, trial counsel was required to search for another expert to perform crime scene reconstruction; whether jury had before it same evidence that would have been revealed by expert forensic pathologist; whether trial counsel's decision not to object to admission of statements was reasonable strategic decision.	
Burg v. Northeast Specialty Corp. (Memorandum Decision)	904
Cancel v. Commissioner of Correction	667
Cohen v. King	85
judicial process and breached professional duty of candor.	
Cohen v. Statewide Grievance Committee	643
defendant Statewide Grievance Committee imposing sanctions and reprimand- ing plaintiff for violating rules 3.3 (a) (1) and 8.4 (3) of Rules of Professional Conduct; whether disciplinary counsel violated plaintiffs due process rights by refusing to conduct investigation into allegations of misconduct against plaintiff; whether disciplinary counsel violated plaintiffs due process rights by failing to produce any witnesses other than plaintiff at hearing before reviewing committee;	
whether trial court improperly inferred attorney-client relationship between	

piaintijf and Probate Court; whether trial court property applied rule 3.3 of Rules	
of Professional Conduct to attorney functioning in fiduciary role; whether trial	
court properly upheld reviewing committee's determination that entry in	
amended final account filed by plaintiff constituted knowingly false statement	
to Probate Court in violation of rule 3.3 (a) (1) of Rules of Professional Conduct	
and was dishonest in violation of rule 8.4 (3) of Rules of Professional Conduct.	
Connecticut Light & Power Co. v. Wolf (Memorandum Decision)	903
Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP v. Pires (Memorandum Decision)	903
Cyganovich v. Cyganovich	164
Dissolution of marriage; appeal from judgment of trial court resolving postjudgment	
motions of parties; whether trial court, in granting motion for modification of	
child support, improperly calculated defendant's modified child support obliga-	
tion; whether defendant was entitled to modified child support in amount calcu-	
lated according to formula applicable to split custody arrangement where parties	
had shared custody of child; whether record supported contention that parties	
spend equal amounts of money to support child.	
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Siladi (Memorandum Decision)	902
Dennis v. Commissioner of Correction	608
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether petitioner's appeal was	000
moot; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certifica-	
tion to appeal; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed	
to establish that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to request	
stay of execution of certain sentence, which resulted in petitioner's loss of sixteen days of presentence incarceration credits; whether there was reasonable strategic	
reason for trial counsel not to request stay of execution after sentence had been	
imposed; whether trial counsel's failure to request stay of execution constituted	
deficient performance; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's	
deficient performance in failing to request stay of execution.	
Dicker v. Dicker	247
Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; claim that trial court erred in finding	241
that plaintiff had violated its medical reimbursement order and in finding,	
on that basis, that she owed defendant certain unpaid unreimbursed medical expenses; whether trial court erred in finding that defendant's accounting sum-	
maries as to amounts he had paid for medical expenses of parties' children were credible; claim that defendant's medical expense summaries were unsubstanti-	
ated and irreconcilable with record; whether trial court erred in its method of calculation of amounts that parties owed to each other; claim that trial court	
abused its discretion in denying motion for contempt; whether trial court's find-	
ing that defendant was not in contempt for withholding from plaintiff certain	
payment he owed for children's extracurricular activities was supported by	
record; whether trial court abused its discretion by permitting defendant uni-	
laterally to deduct undisputed unpaid unreimbursed medical expenses owed by plaintiff from future payments defendant owed to plaintiff for children's	
extracurricular activities; whether trial court's remedial order was manifestly	
unreasonable; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to	
reargue; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff had ample opportu-	
nity to submit any relevant evidence prior to final hearing on parties' motions but chose not to do so; claim that trial court violated plaintiff's due process right	
to be heard when it denied her motion for contempt before she had rested her	
case-in-chief; whether it was within discretion of trial court to deny plaintiff's	
claim for contempt where there was adequate factual basis to explain defendant's	
failure to honor prior court orders.	006
Fleming v. Middletown (Memorandum Decision)	906
Francis v. Board of Pardons & Paroles (Memorandum Decision)	906
Garden Homes Profit Sharing Trust, L.P. v. Cyr	75
Summary process; nonjoinder of party; whether trial court had authority to raise,	
sua sponte, issue of nonjoinder of necessary party in absence of motion to strike	
filed by defendant; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment in favor	
of defendant on basis of nonjoinder without giving plaintiff opportunity to add	
necessary party to action in violation of relevant statute (§ 52-108) and rules	
of practice (§§ 9-19 and 10-44).	<b>5</b> 0.0
Gilman v. Shames	736
Bystander emotional distress; whether trial court erroneously concluded that plain-	
tiff's bystander emotional distress claim directed to defendant physician in physi-	
cian's individual capacity was barred by statutory (§ 4-165) immunity; claim	

that facts pleaded in operative complaint were sufficient to demonstrate that physician's conduct was reckless and, thus, that physician was not protected by statutory immunity; whether trial court erroneously concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over bystander emotional distress claim directed to state; whether trial court properly determined that bystander emotional distress claim was derivative in nature and could not be raised in absence of predicate wrongful death action commenced by decedent's estate; claim that trial court erred in dismissing plaintiff's bystander emotional distress claim directed to state on ground that failure to join decedent's estate in present action deprived court of subject matter jurisdiction.  Harris v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	903
Harvey v. Dept. of Correction	93
Wrongful death; sovereign immunity; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether action was time barred pursuant to statute (§ 4-160 [d]) that requires plaintiff who has been granted authorization to sue state by Claims Commissioner to bring action within one year from date authorization was granted; claim that action was not untimely because applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-555) for wrongful death action, which permits action to be brought within two years from date of decedent's death, had not expired and is not limited by § 4-160 (d); whether plaintiff was required to comply with both one year limitation period provided	
in $\S$ 4-160 (d) and statute of limitations for wrongful death action set forth in	
§ 52-555; claim that action was timely because limitation period prescribed in	
§ 4-160 (d) was extended by statute (§ 52-594).	
Holbrook v. Commissioner of Correction	108
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to prove	
that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to pursue	
claim that trial counsel had been ineffective; claim that trial counsel's decision	
not to call witness constituted deficient performance; claim that prosecution	
suppressed favorable evidence when it delayed making plea offer to eyewitness	
until after eyewitness testified in petitioner's criminal trial.  Ibrahim v. Chapdelaine (Memorandum Decision)	901
Ion Bank v. J.C.C. Custom Homes, LLC	301
Replevin; action by way of replevin to recover certain collateral in defendants' posses-	50
sion; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion to dismiss because amended complaint filed by plaintiff cured any defect regarding plaintiff's standing; claim that plaintiff properly substituted proper party as plaintiff by operation of law by filing amended complaint in compliance with relevant rule of practice (§ 10-59); whether plaintiff was required to file motion for permission to substitute proper party as plaintiff; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to treat amended complaint as motion to substitute parties;	
claim that plaintiff, as assignor of note, had standing to maintain replevin action on behalf of its assignee.	
Kaminski $v$ . Alexander (Memorandum Decision)	907
Kudia v. Malik (Memorandum Decision)	905
LaBorne v. LaBorne	353
Dissolution of marriage; appeal from judgment of trial court issuing postjudgment financial orders; whether trial court erred in failing to use value of defendant's	
pension as of date of dissolution; whether there was exceptional intervening	
circumstance that justified trial court's decision not to value pension at time of dissolution; whether wilful dissipation of assets by defendant constituted	
exceptional intervening circumstance; whether trial court improperly based ali-	
mony orders on parties' gross income; reviewability of claim that trial court erred	
in concluding that defendant was permitted to withdraw funds from retirement	
account for purpose of paying alimony.	
Leon v. Commissioner of Correction	512
closing argument to jury violated petitioner's sixth amendment right to client	
autonomy; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner was not deprived of right to effective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court properly	
determined that test set forth in Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668) to	
determine whether petitioner received ineffective assistance of counsel was appli-	
cable and not exception under United States v. Cronic (466 U.S. 648) that relieves habeas petitioner of having to demonstrate prejudice when counsel entirely fails	

to function as advocate and does not subject state's case to meaningful adversarial testing; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner was not prejudiced by trial counsel's statements to jury; claim that reasonable probability existed that result of trial would have been different had counsel not made challenged comments to jury.	
Levine v. Hite  Personal injury; whether plaintiff's due process rights were violated when trial court reconsidered, sua sponte, ruling of prior trial court and permitted defendants to engage in further discovery; whether trial court abused its discretion in rendering judgment of nonsuit against plaintiff for failing to comply with three previous court orders concerning discovery; whether trial court abused its discretion when it ruled on defendants' motion for judgment of nonsuit prior to considering plaintiff's motion for order of sanctions against defendants' counsel.	281
Liberty Transportation, Inc. v. Massachusetts Bay Ins. Co	595
Lively v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901 486
Margarita O. v. Fernando I	448
Marino v. Statewide Grievance Committee.  Attorney discipline; appeal to trial court from decision of reviewing committee of defendant Statewide Grievance Committee finding that plaintiff violated rule 4.4 (a) of Rules of Professional Conduct; whether trial court's decision that defendant properly concluded that plaintiff violated rule 4.4 (a) was based on clear and convincing evidence; whether there was clear and convincing proof that plaintiff filed motion for capias for no substantial purpose other than to embarrass or burden complainant; whether there is statutory authority or rule of practice that requires attorney to contact court or to check judicial website prior to filing motion for capias; whether motion for capias may properly be requested when party is served with subpoena duces tecum and fails to appear for scheduled deposition; whether rule 4.4 (a) imposes additional obligations on attorney when dealing with self-represented narty	7

Maurice $v$ . Chester Housing Associates Ltd. Partnership	754
Negligence; slip and fall; motion for sanctions; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding expert witness from testifying as expert in field of	
snow removal; whether witness' testimony demonstrated that knowledge of snow	
removal was insubstantial and tangential to real expertise in building codes and	
ordinances; claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to render	
default judgment against defendant on basis of misconduct of defendant's general	
and managing partner against plaintiff's attorney; whether trial court had wide discretion to impose sanction that it deemed appropriate under circumstances.	
1 11 1	-0
McKiernan v. Civil Service Commission	50
to retake oral assessment portion of certain police detective promotional examina-	
tion; claim that trial court erred by rendering judgment in favor of defendants	
on basis of its finding that oral assessment was administered in accordance with	
requirements of city charter; whether trial court's finding that test administrators	
provided plaintiff with all necessary test materials for oral assessment was clearly erroneous; whether trial court's finding that supervising test administrator's	
description of procedures followed during examination was corroborated by other	
witnesses was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court erred in concluding that	
examination was administered in reasonable manner even though test adminis-	
trators failed to take any steps to provide plaintiff with allegedly missing test	
materials; whether oral assessment was given in compliance with requirements of city charter despite lack of system to keep track of test materials; claim that	
examination was unreasonable and arbitrary because it was not administered	
in uniform manner; claim that instructions given to test participants on video	
in assessment room were different from those set forth in documents given in	
preparation room.	
Mensah v. Mensah (Memorandum Decision)	907
Merkel v. Hill	779
concerning parties' minor child that had been issued in connection with foreign	
judgment of dissolution; motion to modify parental access plan; whether trial	
court violated defendant's procedural due process rights when it modified order	
relating to custody of minor child without providing parties with notice and	
meaningful opportunity to be heard on that issue; whether trial court abused its discretion when it adopted stale and outdated parental access plan recommenda-	
tions in comprehensive evaluation report prepared one year earlier by family	
relations counselor.	
Miller $v$ . Maurer	769
Interpleader; action to determine rights to proceeds from legal settlement; whether	
trial court improperly determined plaintiff was entitled to 15 percent of settlement	
proceeds; whether plaintiff had standing to challenge trial court's allocation of remainder of settlement proceeds between defendants.	
Morrison v. Wallace (Memorandum Decision)	907
Mountain v. Mountain.	228
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court erred in denying postjudgment motion	220
for modification of unallocated alimony and child support obligation; whether	
trial court erred in finding that there had been no substantial change in circum-	
stances to support modification of unallocated alimony and child support obliga-	
tion; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in finding that plaintiff failed to prove that he was no longer able to meet financial obligations to defendant by	
borrowing money from his current wife.	
Natasha B. v. Dept. of Children & Families	398
Administrative appeal; appeal from decision of hearing officer of defendant Depart-	
ment of Children and Families, who upheld department's decision to substantiate	
allegations of physical abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect by plaintiff against minor child and to place plaintiff's name on its child abuse and neglect	
central registry; whether trial court properly concluded that finding of chronicity	
was not required to place plaintiff's name on child abuse and neglect central	
registry; claim that, because hearing officer made explicit finding that there was	
no chronicity, plaintiff's name could not be placed on child abuse and neglect	
central registry; whether trial court erred in concluding that hearing officer did not improperly shift burden of proof to plaintiff when hearing officer scheduled	
second hearing date so that narties could present evidence regarding whether	

plaintiff had demonstrated changed conditions that would justify removal of her	
name from child abuse and neglect central registry.  Nova Benefit Plans, LLC v. Mortgages Unlimited, Inc	329
Arbitration; appeal from judgment of trial court denying application to vacate and confirming arbitration award in favor of defendants; claim that trial court improperly confirmed arbitration award that was predicated on prior related arbitration award, which plaintiffs claimed constituted manifest disregard of law.	929
PMC Property Group, Inc. v. Public Utilities Regulatory Authority	268
Praisner v. State	540
Indemnification; subject matter jurisdiction; sovereign immunity; action pursuant to statute ([Rev. to 2013] § 53-39a) for indemnification from defendant state for economic losses that plaintiff allegedly incurred as result of federal criminal action filed against him in his capacity as member of certain special police force for state university; whether trial court improperly concluded that action was not barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity; whether trial court incorrectly determined that plaintiff, as member of state university's special police force, was authorized to bring action pursuant to § 53-39a, which expressly authorizes members of certain classes of individuals, including members of local police departments, to bring action against state under § 53-39a; whether plaintiff established reasonable basis on which to conclude that his claim for indemnification fell within narrow scope of waiver of sovereign immunity contained in § 53-39a.	940
y 55-59a.  Premier Capital, LLC v. Shaw	1
Standing; action to enforce judgment; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction due to plaintiff's lack of standing; whether designation of wrong entity as plaintiff was scrivener's error; whether trial court should have dismissed case rather than deciding it on merits.	1
Riddick v. Dept. of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	906 68
in judiciary.  Scalora v. Scalora	703

defendant's financial circumstances; claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to award defendant attorney's fees in connection with motion for contempt; reviewability of inadequately briefed claims that trial court abused its discretion in declining to award plaintiff attorney's fees in connection with her motion for contempt and challenging trial court's interpretation of certain provision of parties' separation agreement.	
Seale v. GeoQuest, Inc.  Negligence; whether trial court's finding that defendant did not breach duty of care to plaintiff was clearly erroneous; credibility of witnesses.	587
Silano v. Cooney	235
owner's statements to police were not defamatory because they were true was clearly erroneous.  Simpson v. Lee (Memorandum Decision)	901
State v. Bischoff	119
State v. Euclides L	151
State v. Grasso	186
State v. Mukhtaar	144
Sutera v. Natiello	631
Taing v. CAMRAC, LLC  Employment discrimination; pregnancy discrimination; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment in favor of defendant; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant's proffered reason for terminating plaintiff's employment was pretextual.	23
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Conrad (Memorandum Decision)	908 902 902 367

ing compliance with specific standards set forth in town building zone regula- tions; claim that trial court erred in concluding that zoning board of appeals acted reasonably in denying plaintiff's application simply because home occupa- tion was part of larger business that took place off-site.  Williams v. State.	172
Negligence; claim that trial court framed issue of case too narrowly and improperly	112
failed to consider all instances of negligence alleged in complaint; reviewability of claim that trial court improperty failed to consider certain statutes, state highway safety regulations, and standards in ruling on complaint.	
Wilmington Trust Co. v. Bachelder (Memorandum Decision)	904
Winthrop v. Winthrop	576
Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; whether trial court properly found	
that defendant's earned income in 2016 was amount reflected on his W-2 form and, thus, that he owed additional alimony pursuant to parties' separation agreement; claim that defendant, as financial advisor who did not receive salary or hourly wage from his employer but was compensated purely on commission basis, was for all practical purposes self-employed and, thus, his earned income should be his gross compensation minus his business related expenses, and not figure shown on his W-2 form; claim that inclusion of defendant's noncash earnings in his earned income was improper; whether trial court incorrectly calculated defendant's additional alimony payments.	
Yuille v. Parnoff	124
Conversion; statutory theft; alleged misappropriation of funds held in escrow pending resolution of parties' dispute over attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion by ordering defendant to commence trial after allowing his attorney to withdraw, without affording him time to obtain new counsel; claim that verdict in favor of plaintiff on counts of conversion and statutory theft was irreconcilably inconsistent with verdict in favor defendant on count alleging breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court improperly declined to submit special defense of waiver to jury.	